Hitachi-GE Nuclear Energy, Ltd. UK ABWR GENERIC DESIGN ASSESSMENT Resolution Plan for RO-ABWR-0020 (UK ABWR Internal Hazards Safety Case for the Main Steam Tunnel Room)

RO TITLE:	UK ABWR Internal Hazards Safety Case for the Main Steam Tunnel										
REVISION :	<u>2</u>										
Overall RO Closure Date (Planned):	<u>04 November</u> 2016									
REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION RELATED TO REGULATORY OBSERVATION											
Regulatory Queries	RQ-ABWR-0248										
Linked ROs	-										
Other Documentation	"Preliminary Internal GA91-9201-0003-0008	Hazard Assessment in Main 5, SE-GD-0071 Rev.0	Steam Tunnel Room",								

Scope of work :

Back ground

Hitachi-GE submitted an engineering document regarding assessment of Internal Hazards within Main Steam Tunnel Room (MSTR) [Ref-1] and presented the contents to the Office for Nuclear Regulation (ONR) in May 2014. ONR provided feedback and expectations to Hitachi-GE in August 2014. The ONR expectations were reiterated and presented within RO-ABWR-0020 which was issued in September 2014.

This Resolution Plan presents the planned response with regards to the UK ABWR Internal Hazards Safety Case for the MSTR as described in RO-ABWR-0020.

Scope of work

This Resolution Plan presents the actions and milestones required to address the Regulatory Observation (RO) (RO-ABWR-0020) regarding the UK ABWR Internal Hazards Safety Case for the MSTR.

Description of work:

RO-ABWR-0020.A1

Review the Main Steam Tunnel Room plant layout and provide a robust ALARP justification of the internal hazards safety case in this area.

Hitachi-GE have <u>divided</u> action RO-ABWR-0020.A1 into the following three actions, which are <u>listed within the</u> "Regulatory Observation Actions" <u>section</u>.

ACTION 1-a. Review the MSTR plant layout and give consideration to different options from the existing design:

Hitachi-GE will review the existing design of MSTR, which will include:

- •
- <u>Identification of potential</u> sources of <u>Internal Hazards within the MSTR</u>
- Review of MSTR layout.
- Identification of potential MSTR design options

This ACTION 1-a has been closed as options of MS Tunnel Room segregation that are different from exist design are identified and advantages / disadvantages are considered in Appendix A of the revision 1 of the topic report on MS tunnel Room [Ref-2].

ACTION 1-b. Provide detail arguments to underpin the claims made:

Hitachi-GE will develop further the MSTR Internal Hazard Claims, Arguments and Evidence. This includes:

- Identification of the bounding Internal Hazard events which may occur within Design Basis (DB) in the <u>MSTR.</u>
- Identify and develop the Internal Hazard claims placed upon the MSTR given the identified bounding Internal Hazard events.
- Provide detailed arguments to support the claims identified within the MSTR.
- Justification of the arguments with comprehensive evidence.

All normal operating states will be considered, including maintenance.

It is noted that the development of the MSTR combinations (correlated/consequential/independent) of Internal Hazards Claims, Arguments and Evidence is achieved utilising the same process identified above. The Topic Report on Internal Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room Rev.2 will present the process for selecting the bounding combinations of events and the subsequent Claims and Arguments.

Table i identifies the documentation which support the bounding event identification, Claims and Arguments.

<u>No</u>	<u>Internal</u>	Identification of Claims and	Supporting documentation	Submission
	<u>Hazard</u>	Arguments		Date
<u>1</u>	Internal	Topic Report on Internal		<u>23-Sep-2016</u>
	fire and	Hazards in Main Steam	<u> </u>	
	explosion	<u>Tunnel Room – Rev.2</u>		
<u>2</u>			Room Data Sheets for Internal	<u>15-July 2016</u>
			Hazard Assessment – Rev.2	
		<u>-</u>	to support identification of	
			hazard sources of internal fire	
			and explosion in the MSTR.	
<u>3</u>	Internal	Topic Report on Internal		<u>23-Sep-2016</u>
	<u>flooding</u>	Hazards in Main Steam	=	
	<u>(immersio</u>	<u>Tunnel Room – Rev.2</u>		
<u>4</u>	<u>n, spray</u>		Room Data Sheets for Internal	<u>15-July 2016</u>
	and steam		Hazard Assessment – Rev.2	
	<u>release)</u>	_	to support identification of	
		-	<u>hazard sources of internal</u>	
			flooding, spray and steam in the	
			<u>MSTR.</u>	
<u>5</u>	Pipe whip	<u>Topic Report on Internal</u>		<u>23-Sep-2016</u>
	and jet	Hazards in Main Steam	=	
	impingem	<u>Tunnel Room – Rev.2</u>		
<u>6</u>	ent		Room Data Sheets for Internal	<u>15-July 2016</u>
			Hazard Assessment – Rev.2	
		=	to support identification of	
			hazard sources of pipe whip and	
			<u>jet in the MSTR.</u>	

Table i : Identification of ACTION 1-b relevant documents (1/3)

No	Internal	Identification of Claims and	Supporting documentation	Submission
	Hazard	Arguments		Date
7	Pipe whip and jet impingem ent		Appendix of "Topic Report on Internal Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room Rev.2" to support:	23-Sep-2016
		<u>-</u>	 ✓ Only one line of MS of FDW will break in design base. ✓ MS line will not hit FDW line and FDW line will not hit MS line 	
<u>8</u>		-	 <u>"Topic Report on Internal</u> <u>Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel</u> <u>Room Rev.2"</u> <u>to support:</u> <i>in case of multiple pipe</i> <u>breaks that the consequences</u> <u>are the same (or similar) for</u> <u>barrier integrity, radiation,</u> <u>combined IHs</u> <i>pipe whip failure bounding</i> <u>case in Class 3 pipework for</u> <u>damage to harrier</u> 	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>
0	Dronned	Tonic Report on Internal	<u>uumuge to burriers</u>	23 San 2016
2	and collapsed	Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room – Rev.2	=	<u>23-56p-2010</u>
<u>10</u>	loads	=	Room Data Sheets for Internal Hazard Assessment – Rev.2 to support identification of hazard sources of dropped and collapsed loads in the MSTR.	<u>15-July 2016</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>Internal</u> blast	<u>Topic Report on Internal</u> <u>Hazards in Main Steam</u> <u>Tunnel Room – Rev.2</u>	=	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>
12		=	Room Data Sheets for Internal Hazard Assessment – Rev.2 to support identification of hazard sources of internal blast in the MSTR.	<u>15-July 2016</u>
<u>13</u>	Internal missile	<u>Topic Report on Internal</u> <u>Hazards in Main Steam</u> Tunnel Room – Rev.2	=	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>
<u>14</u>		=	Room Data Sheets for Internal Hazard Assessment – Rev.2 to support identification of hazard sources of internal missile in the MSTR.	<u>15-July 2016</u>
<u>15</u>	<u>EMI/RFI</u>	Topic Report on Internal Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room – Rev.2	=	23-Sep-2016

]	Table i : Identification of ACTIC	DN 1-b relevant documents (3/3)	
No	Internal	Identification of Claims and	Supporting documentation	Submission
	Hazard	Arguments		Date
<u>16</u>	EMI/RFI		Room Data Sheets for Internal	15-July 2016
			Hazard Assessment - Rev.2	
		-	to support identification of	
		_	hazard sources of EMI/RFI in	
			the MSTR.	
17	Internal	Topic Report on Internal		23-Sep-2016
	Combined	Hazards in Main Steam	<u>-</u>	-
	Hazards	Tunnel Room - Rev.2	_	
18			Room Data Sheets for Internal	15-July 2016
			Hazard Assessment - Rev.2	
		<u> </u>	to support identification of	
			hazard sources of internal	
			combined hazards in the MSTR.	

ACTION 1-c. Provide detail evidence to underpin the arguments made:

As discussed in the resolution plan for Action 1-b Hitachi-GE will develop further the MSTR Internal Hazard Claims, Arguments and Evidence. The assessment of the identified bounding Internal Hazard events will provide Evidence to support the Claims and Arguments identified in Action 1-b. The assessment of the consequences to the divisional barriers will be presented in the barrier substantiation report. This provides evidence that the internal hazard loadings listed in Table i do not prevent the divisional barriers delivering their required FSFs. Table ii presents most of the claims are anticipated to be on the Divisional barriers, however where there are other claims the appropriate document will be referenced.

	Table II. Identification of ACTION 1-Crelevant documents											
<u>No</u>	Internal Hazard	rnal Hazard Evidence Documentation										
			Date									
<u>1</u>	Internal fire and	Barrier Substantiation Report Rev.2	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>									
	explosion											
2	Internal flooding	Barrier Substantiation Report Rev.2	23-Sep-2016									
<u>3</u>	Pipe whip and jet	Barrier Substantiation Report Rev.2	23-Sep-2016									
	impingement											
<u>4</u>	Dropped and	Barrier Substantiation Report Rev.2	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>									
	collapsed loads											
<u>5</u>	Internal blast	Barrier Substantiation Report Rev.2	23-Sep-2016									
<u>6</u>	Internal missile	Barrier Substantiation Report Rev.2	23-Sep-2016									
<u>7</u>	EMI/RFI	EMI/RFI Qualification report (referenced by EMI/RFI	05-Sep-2016									
		Topic Report)										
8	Internal	Barrier Substantiation Report Rev.2	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>									
	Combined											
	Hazards											

Table ii : Identification of ACTION 1-c relevant documents

Note that the barrier substantiation assessment will be performed for Internal Hazard sources that are present within the MSTR. If it is demonstrated that the Internal Hazard source is not present or not significant no barrier substantiation assessment will be required.

ACTION 1-d. Provide an internal hazards ALARP justification for the MSTR: The Topic Report on Internal Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room (SE-GD-0232 Rev.1) will be updated following the completion of the supporting MSTR Internal Hazard assessment. Once this is complete an ALARP justification will be considered in the Topic Report to determine if there are further measures which can be implemented which are reasonably practical and not grossly disproportionate. Relevant optioneering and design changes carried out will be used in the ALARP assessment.

Summary of impa	act on GDA submission	IS:	
Palatad PO Actions	GDA Submission	Document ID	Submission Date to the
Related NO Actions	Document Title	(Document No.)	<u>Regulators</u>
Submitted Document			
ROA1-a (closed)	Topic Report on Internal Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room	GA91-9201-0001-00098 Rev. <u>1</u> (SE-GD-0232 Rev. <u>1)</u>	<u>14-May</u> -2015
Planned Document			
ROA1- <u>b</u>	Topic Report on Internal Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room	GA91-9201-0001-00098 Rev.2 (SE-GD-0232 Rev.2)	<u>23-Sep</u> -2016
	<u>Room Data Sheets for</u> <u>Internal Hazard</u> <u>Assessment</u>	<u>GA91-9201-0003-00427 Rev.2</u> (BKE-GD-0020 Rev.2)	<u>15-July 2016</u>
<u>ROA1-c</u>	Barrier Substantiation Report and other documents where relevant	<u>GA91-9201-0003-00426 Rev.2</u> (BKE-GD-0019 Rev.2)	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>
<u>ROA1-d</u>	<u>Topic Report on Internal</u> <u>Hazards in Main Steam</u> <u>Tunnel Room</u>	GA91-9201-0001-00098 Rev.1 (SE-GD-0232 Rev.1)	<u>23-Sep-2016</u>

Programme Milestones/ Schedule:

See attached Gantt Chart (Table 1)

Reference:

(GA91-9201-0003-00085, SE-GD-0071 Rev.0, May 2014)

[Ref-2] "Topic Report on Internal Hazards in Main Steam Tunnel Room"

(GA91-9201-0001-00098, SE-GD-0232 Rev.1, May 2015)

Table 1 RO-ABWR-0020 Gantt Chart

LIK ADWD Internet Hearned Safety Coope for the Main Steam Tunnel Deem						2014									2015													
DR ADWR IIIternal Hazards Salety Case for the Wall Steam I	Se	eptember		October	r N	lovember	D	ecember		Januar	y 🛛	Febru	Jary		March	1	A	pril		May			June		Ju	uly		
Resolution Flat for RO-ABWR-0020	1 8	15 22	29 6	13 20	27 3	10 17 24	4 1 8	15 22	29 5	12 19	26 2	9	16 23	2 9) 16	23 30	6 13	20 2	74	11 18	3 25	1 8	15 2	2 29	ô 13	20 27		
Level Action Title	Start	Finish												: 1								~				-		
Regulator's Issues of RO						1 1 1							1	1 1			1					-				1		
I.1 ONR issue of RO	29-Sep-14	29-Sep-14				1 1 1			TT	11			T	11		TT.				Π				T		TT		111
I.2 Hitachi-GE acknowledge RO	20-Oct-14	20-Oct-14				1				1		11	111	11						П				1		1	17	
1.3 Hitachi-GE issue Resolution Plan	31-Oct-14	31-Oct-14	1							T		TT	1.1.	11		11				Πſ	11	{	T	1		1	17	TTT 1
I.4 Regulator's confirm credibility of Resolution Plan	3-Nov-14	5-Dec-14		TTT	~~~~	Π				TT	T	П	TT	11	1	m	11			П	TT	T	TT	1	T	TT	T	111
I.5 Regulator's publish RO and Resolution Plan	8-Dec-14	12-Dec-14		1 1						11		TT	T	11	1		11					T		1		TT	17	
																						{						
Preparation of Submission Documentation																						1						\square
2.1 Action 1-a. Review MSTR plant layout and consider design options	27-Oct-14	26-Dec-14										П	TT	11		П	11	1		П	T	1		1		T	17	
2.2 Action 1-b. Provide detail arguments to claims	24-Nov-14	6-Feb-15		1 1 1					11					1	- T					TT				1		TT	177	
2.3 Action 1-c. Provide detail evidences to claims and arguments	17-Aug-15	4-Mar-16										П	TT							П		1		1		T	17	
2.4 Action 1-d. Provide internal hazards ALARP justification for the MSTR	3-Nov-14	20-Feb-15	1		1	111							T			ГТ	111			IΠ	TT	· · · · ·	T	1		1	177	111
2.5 Documentation of topic report	29-Dec-14	8-Apr-16		TIT										1										1		T		
				1 1										1				1				1		1				\square
Regulator's Closure of RO						111								11								1					\square	
8.1 Regulator's assessment	9-Mar-15	6-May-16		1111		111			111	11			1	11							1	1				1.1	17	
8.2 Regulator's publication of RO closure letter	9-May-16	3-Jun-16		TTT		111			TT			TT	T	11	7	TT.	11			TT	TT	1	T	1		T	17	

	LIK ADMR Isternal Lissanda Osfati, Ossa fasitia Maia Otarea Tur	2015									2016													1		
	UK ABWR Internal Hazards Sarety Case for the Main Steam Tun Desclution Diep for DO ADWD 0020	Augus	t S	eptember	October	r	November	December	Janu	ary	Februa	ry	March	A	pril	Ma	ау	Jur	e	July		August	September	October		
	Resolution Fian for RO-ABWIR-0020	3 10 17	24 31 7	14 21 28	5 12 19	26 2	9 16 23 3	0 7 14 21 2	B 4 11	18 25	1 8 15	22 29 7	14 21 21	8 4 11	18 25	2 9 1	6 23 3	0 6 13	20 27	4 11 18	25 1	8 15 22 25	5 12 19 2	6 3 10 17 24 31		
Level	Action Title	Start	Finish																		1					
1	Regulator's Issues of RO														L.L.		L. J		.1						1.1.1.1.	
1.1	ONR issue of RO	29-Sep-14	29-Sep-14																							
1.2	Hitachi-GE acknowledge RO	20-Oct-14	20-Oct-14													1.1.			1.	1.1.1						
1.3	Hitachi-GE issue Resolution Plan	31-Oct-14	31-Oct-14								I								1.1	TT						
1.4	Regulator's confirm credibility of Resolution Plan	3-Nov-14	5-Dec-14																		1					
1.5	Regulator's publish RO and Resolution Plan	8-Dec-14	12-Dec-14							1 1 1		- T				11										
2	Preparation of Submission Documentation																		1		}					
2.1	Action 1-a. Review MSTR plant layout and consider design options	27-Oct-14	26-Dec-14																		1					
2.2	Action 1-b. Provide detail arguments to claims	24-Nov-14	6-Feb-15							1 1 1 1		- T T				TT		11	1		1	1				
2.2.1	Action 1-b. For Revision 2 of Resolution Plan	23-May-16	2-Sep-16																							
2.3	Action 1-c. Provide detail evidences to claims and arguments	17-Aug-15	4-Mar-16																1.[1.0	}					
2.3.1	Action 1-c. For Revision 2 of Resolution Plan	4-Jul-16	2-Sep-16																1.	1.1.1						
2.4	Action 1-d. Provide internal hazards ALARP justification for the MSTR	3-Nov-14	20-Feb-15																1.3							
2.4.1	Action 1-d. For Revision 2 of Resolution Plan	5-Sep-16	23-Sep-16																							
2.5	Documentation of topic report	29-Dec-14	8-Apr-16																1							
2.5.1	Documentation of topic report	1-Aug-16	23-Sep-16																							
3	Regulator's Closure of RO					L.I									L.I											
3.1	Regulator's assessment	9-Mar-15	6-May-16								1.1.1					1	1.1.1		1.1	1.1.1			L			
3.2	Regulator's publication of RO closure letter	9-May-16	3-Jun-16																1		}	13	}	1137		